

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,672		07/16/2004		Hiroshi Takeno	2004_1129A	2337
	513	7590	07/27/2006	EXAMINER		
		•	D & PONACK, L	HITESHEW, FELISA CARLA		
	2033 K STRI SUITE 800	2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGT				1722	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/501,672	TAKENO, HIROSHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Felisa C. Hiteshew	1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [In the service of	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application	I.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.		·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· ·	- 1 1					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	•	Treceived in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		t received.					
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date see attached paper. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/501,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The PTOL 1449 has been received, reviewed and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 4 recites the limitation "... Grown-in-precipitation nuclei..." in Line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please insert the word --a—before the phrase, as stated supra, for proper antecedence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 1,2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Abstract 200127167 A (JP '167 A).

JP '167 A teaches a silicon semiconductor substrate and method, wherein a single-crystal silicon wafer is obtained by cutting a silicon-single crystal and contains nitrogen at a concentration of 1 x 10¹³/cm³. When an epitaxial layer is caused to deposit on the wafer, the occurrence of stacking faults is reduced to 20.5 defect/cm² or dislocation over the whole "entire" surface of the wafer.

The difference being that the JP '167 A reference does not teach a method of producing or a silicon single-crystal, as stated supra, wherein boron is used as a dopant within the substrate having a resistivity of $0.1~\Omega$ cm or lower. However, in absence of unobvious results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify and optimize the product and process parameters in order to ensure proper optimization. The motivation being that a crystal can be produced with no crystal defects on its surface, and a superior device can be manufactured.

Expected beneficial results are evidence of obviousness, just as unexpected beneficial results are evidence of unobviousness. In re Novak 16 USPQ 2d 2041 (Fed. Cir., BPAI 1989); In re Hoffman 194 USPQ 126 (CCPA 1977); In re Skoll 187 USPQ 481 (CCPA 1975); In re Skoner 186 USPQ 80 (CCPA 1975); In re Garshon 152 USPQ 602 (CCPA 1967).

Application/Control Number: 10/501,672 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

Allowable Subject Matter

6. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

7. Claims 3,5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTOL-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is (571) 272-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM with Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-1463.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Application/Control Number: 10/501,672

Art Unit: 1722

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system. see
http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private
PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866- 217-9197
(toll-free).

FELISA HITESHEW PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5